Synaptic and Cellular Properties of the Feedforward Inhibitory Circuit within the Input Layer of the Cerebellar Cortex
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Precise representation of the timing of sensory stimuli is essential for rapid motor coordination, a core function of the cerebellum. Feedforward inhibition has been implicated in precise temporal signaling in several regions of the brain, but little is known about this type of inhibitory circuit within the input layer of the cerebellar cortex. We investigated the synaptic properties of feedforward inhibition at near physiological temperatures (35°C) in rat cerebellar slices. We establish that the previously uncharacterized mossy fiber–Golgi cell–granule cell pathway can act as a functional feedforward inhibitory circuit. The synchronous activation of four mossy fibers, releasing a total of six quanta onto a Golgi cell, can reset spontaneous Golgi cell firing with high temporal precision (200 μs). However, only modest increases in Golgi cell firing rate were observed during trains of high-frequency mossy fiber stimulation. This decoupling of Golgi cell activity from mossy fiber firing rate was attributable to a strong afterhyperpolarization after each action potential, preventing mossy fiber–Golgi cell signaling for ~50 ms. Feedforward excitation of Golgi cells induced a temporally precise inhibitory conductance in granule cells that curtailed the excitatory action of the mossy fiber EPSC. The synaptic and cellular properties of this feedforward circuit appear tuned to trigger a fast inhibitory conductance in granule cells at the onset of stimuli that produce intense bursts of activity in multiple mossy fibers, thereby conserving the temporal precision of the initial granule cell response.

Key words: cerebellum; synaptic integration; Golgi cell; mossy fiber; inhibition; interneuron

Introduction

The precision with which an action potential (AP) can be triggered by synaptic input sets the fidelity with which temporal events can be represented and the amount of information carried by an individual AP (Rieke et al., 1997). Precise AP timing is crucial for neural codes that represent physical variables as spike times relative to oscillating reference signals (Stopfer et al., 1997; Harris et al., 2002). Spike timing is also important in motor systems because encoding temporal sequences is essential for coordinating movements (Ivry and Keele, 1989; Medina and Mauk, 2000). Indeed, impairment of spike precision causes ataxia (Walter et al., 2006). Many of the neurons in motor systems, particularly in the cerebellum, are spontaneously active (Llinás, 1988; Häusser et al., 2004) and several of these participate in feedforward inhibitory loops (Mittmann et al., 2005), which are thought to preserve temporal signaling as sensory signals flow through networks (Pouille and Scanziani, 2001; Mittmann et al., 2005). However, relatively little is known about how processing is accomplished in the presence of intrinsic activity in feedforward interneurons.

Golgi cells (GoCs), which reside in the input layer of the cerebellar cortex, are spontaneously active and provide the sole source of inhibition for thousands of granule cells (GrCs) (Eccles et al., 1967). GoCs are important for normal cerebellar function because pharmacological ablation of this inhibitory interneuron leads to sever motor deficits (Watanabe et al., 1998). Although classical anatomical studies have indicated the presence of a feedforward inhibitory circuit in the granule cell layer (Eccles et al., 1967), little is known about its functional properties. Moreover, in vivo experimental evidence for precise temporal signaling in the input layer of the cerebellar cortex is scarce. We used patch-clamp recordings and imaging in acute cerebellar slices to unambiguously identify the feedforward mossy fiber (MF) input onto GoCs and investigate its properties. We show that the synchronous activation of a small number of MFs can reset the timing of spontaneously firing GoCs with high precision. However, a powerful afterhyperpolarization after each spike reduced the reliability of MF–GoC transmission as the frequency increased, decoupling GoC firing from MF excitation. An MF-evoked GoC spike produced a precisely timed feedforward inhibitory conductance in GrCs that cancelled slow MF–GrC EPSC components. These results establish that a functional feedforward MF–GoC–GrC pathway is present in the input layer of the cerebellar cortex. The synaptic properties of this circuit, together with intrinsic pacemaker currents of the GoC, suggest that this circuit could help...
preserve information on the precise timing of the start of a discrete sensory stimulus by shaping the early GrC response.

Materials and Methods

Electrical recording conditions. Parasagittal slices of cerebellum (200–220 μm thick) were prepared from postnatal day 25 (P25) Sprague Dawley rats as described previously (Silver et al., 1996). Brain slices were either prepared in artificial CSF (ACSF) (125 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 1.25 mM NaH2PO4, 26 mM NaHCO3, and 25 mM glucose, pH 7.3, equilibrated with 5% CO2/95% O2) or in 130 mM K-glucanate, 15 mM KCl, 0.05 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES, and 25 mM glucose, pH 7.4 with NaOH (Dugue et al., 2005) because this was found to increase GoC viability (Dugue et al., 2005; Forti et al., 2006) and incubated in ACSF at 30–31°C for 45 min. The tissue was then stored at room temperature for up to 6 h before use. In the recording chamber, brain slices were continuously perfused with ACSF at 34–36°C. The following pharmacological agents were added to the external perfusion solution when mentioned: 10 μM SR95531 [6-imino-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-pyrazine-2-carboxylic acid hydrobromide] (gabazine), 0.3 mM strychnine, 100–200 μM d,6-dioxo-6-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo/f|quinoline-7-sulfonamide disodium salt (NBQX), and 10 μM d-[(−1)-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (APV). All chemicals were obtained from either Sigma-Aldrich or Tocris Cookson. Release probability was lowered by reducing the Ca2+/Mg2+ ratio in the extracellular solution to 1 mM/5 mM in a subset of experiments.

Slices were visualized with a 60× (numerical aperture 0.9) water-immersion objective using infrared differential interference contrast optics (Olympus BX50W1) and a CCD camera. Patch pipettes were produced from borosilicate glass capillaries (Sutter Instruments) using a Sutter P97 horizontal puller (Sutter Instruments). We used an Axopatch 200B for whole-cell voltage-clamp and an Axoclamp 2B amplifier (Molecular Devices) for current-clamp recordings. High-quality voltage and current recordings were not possible in the same cell because of the limitations of these amplifiers. Some later recordings were also performed with an Axopatch 700B. Data was low-pass filtered at 10–30 kHz and digitized at 50–100 kHz. Recordings were acquired and analyzed with IgorPro (WaveMetrics) using NeuroMatic (http://www.physiol.ucl.ac.uk/research/silver_a/neuromatic/). All traces displayed in the figures were further digitally filtered to 7 kHz using a binomial smoothing function in IgorPro. MF inputs were activated via an ACSF-filled glass pipette (2–3 MΩ) placed in the white matter tract (WMT), typically 100–200 μm away from the recording site using a stimulus isolator (model DS2A; Digitimer) to generate 10–100 V pulses of 20–200 μs duration. Parallel fibers (PFs) were activated by stimulating the upper GrC layer or molecular layer, which led to qualitatively similar results. Loose cell-attached recordings. GoC firing behavior was monitored in loose cell-attached (LCA) voltage-clamp configuration with ACSF-filled pipettes (7–10 MΩ). APs were identified based on prominent upward and downward deflections in the current trace (Vos et al., 1999). Recording stability was monitored by observing the AP shape. AP latency was defined as the time point of half-maximal amplitude of the inward deflection. The effect of MF stimulation on spontaneous rhythmic GoC firing was assessed with a phase–response curve, calculated with Equation 1, which determines whether a perturbation advances or retards the phase of an oscillator (Rinzel and Ermentrout, 1998):

\[
\Delta \theta = \frac{T_0 - T_a(\theta)}{T_0},
\]

where \(\Delta \theta\) is the phase response, \(T_0\) is the median of interspike interval (ISI) before stimulation, and \(T_a\) is the ISI between APs of interest. \(T_0\) can depend on time or the phase at which the stimulus occurs (\(\theta = T/T_0\), where \(T\) is the interval between the spontaneous AP before stimulation and time point of stimulation).

Whole-cell recordings. Postsynaptic currents (PSCs) and postsynaptic potentials were measured with 5–7 MΩ patch electrodes filled with the following (in mM): 5 Na-MeSO3, 130 K-MeSO3, 10 HEPES, 5 MgCl2, 0.1 EGTA, 0.3 NaGTP, 4 MgATP, and 100 μM Alexa488 or Alexa594, pH 7.3 with KOH for GoC and 110 K-MeSO4, 40 HEPES, 6 NaOH, 3 MgCl2, 0.02 CaCl2, 0.15 BAPTA, 0.3 NaGTP, and 4 NaATP, pH 7.3 with KOH for GrC recordings. In some experiments, voltage–gate channel blockers QX314 [(N-(2,6-dimethylphenylcarbamoylmethyl)triethylammonium bromide] (5 mM) and tetraethylammonium (TEA) (17.5 mM) were included in the internal solution. Voltage–clamp recordings were made at holding potentials of −60 and −30 mV (GoCs), −70 and 0 mV (GrCs), and not corrected for the 8 mV (GoC internal) and 6.3 mV (GrC internal) liquid junction potential. Whole-cell capacitance and series resistance were determined by canceling the current transient evoked by a 50 ms, 5 mV hyperpolarizing voltage step and read from the amplifier dials. Cell input resistance was calculated from the steady-state current at the end of the voltage step, and the recording stability was assessed with a Spearman’s rank correlation test. GoCs had a whole-cell capacitance of 57 ± 4 pF, and in patch–clamp recordings for subthreshold responses (16 ± 6 μM, n = 64) this was compensated (60–70%, 7–10 μA lag) to −5 MΩ. This gave an effective filtering [1/(2πτRC)] of −1 kHz. GrCs had a whole-cell capacitance of <5 pF and were recorded with an uncorrected series resistance of 26 ± 10 MΩ (n = 19), which resulted in an effective filtering of 1.5 kHz.

GoC current–clamp recordings were initially performed in the absence of current injection to determine the spontaneous firing in LCA and whole-cell configuration. Because the whole-cell configuration affected the spontaneous firing in an unpredictable manner (Forti et al., 2006), we injected hyperpolarizing or depolarizing currents to match the firing frequency observed in LCA recordings. The spike threshold was defined as the membrane voltage when the derivative of the membrane voltage (dV/dt) was 5 SDs above the mean dV/dt for subthreshold responses. This gave a threshold criterion of −5 nV/ms (Forti et al., 2006), which reliably identified the rising phase of APs and closely matched the visually identified inflection point. The threshold potential was then corrected for the liquid junction potential.

PSCs were baseline subtracted using a 0.5 ms time window before the stimulus. In some cases, the stimulus artifact was removed by subtracting a double-exponential fit to the averaged artifact. Individual events were classified as failures when the mean amplitude in a 1 ms window around the peak of the PSC was smaller than 5× SD of the mean background current of a 1 ms time window before the stimulus. To further distinguish slowly rising spillover currents, we grouped all events with a rise time 5× SD above the mean rise time of successes with failures (DiGregorio et al., 2002). To describe the PSC kinetics, we measured the 20–80% rise time, calculated the weighted decay (normalized integral over a 20 ms time window) (DiGregorio et al., 2002), or fit the decay with a double-exponential function.

The time course of synaptic reversal potential was calculated as follows (Johnston and Wu, 1995):

\[
E_{\text{syn}} = G_{\text{exc}}E_{\text{exc}} + G_{\text{inh}}E_{\text{inh}} / G_{\text{exc}} + G_{\text{inh}}.
\]

where \(E_{\text{syn}}\) is reversal potential of the combined excitatory and inhibitory conductances, \(G_{\text{exc}}\) is excitatory conductance, \(E_{\text{exc}}\) is reversal potential of excitatory conductance (estimated to be 0 mV), \(G_{\text{inh}}\) is inhibitory conductance, and \(E_{\text{inh}}\) is reversal potential of inhibitory conductance (estimated to be −75 mV). When \(E_{\text{inh}}\) is below the voltage threshold for spike initiation, the combined synaptic conductances will not induce firing.

Response latencies were measured between the beginning of the stimulus artifact and the 20% rise time point of the PSC. Because monosynaptic MF–GrC PSCs have a mean latency of 0.8 ms (Sargent et al., 2005), we excluded all GoCs responding to WMT stimulation with currents of latencies larger than 1.2 ms (5× SD above mean MF–GrC latency) from additional analysis. Disynaptic IPSC latency jitter in GrCs arises from the jitter of MF-evoked GoC APs and the jitter of GoC–GrC transmission. Because variances add when two Gaussian distributions are convolved, the expected jitter of disynaptic GrC inhibition is as follows:

\[
SD = \sqrt{G_{\text{exc}} - G_{\text{inh}}} + \sqrt{G_{\text{inh}} - G_{\text{exc}}}.
\]

Deconvolution analysis was performed as described previously (Sargent et al., 2005) without spillover correction. Uniquantal events were measured under low release probability conditions, aligned on their 20% rise
time. To reduce the effects of noise, these were determined from fits of Equation 4 to individual currents and averaged:

\[ \text{EPSC}(t) = A_t \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_0}{\tau_{\text{rise}}}\right)\right) + A_i \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_0}{\tau_{\text{decay}}}\right) + (1 - A_t) \exp\left(-\frac{t - t_0}{\tau_{\text{decay}}}\right). \] (4)

The resulting mean current was also fit with Equation 4 and deconvolved with a fit of the average stimulus-evoked EPSC under control conditions to yield the vesicular release rate as a function of time across all release sites at the connection. We verified this approach by directly determining the release time course from the first latencies of EPSCs in low release probability conditions for four cells. At high failure rates (>80%), the correction for the occurrence of multiquantal events (Barrett and Stevens, 1972) was negligible [Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test, \(p > 0.2; n = 4\)].

The quantal size at the time of the peak of the evoked EPSC \(Q_p\) was determined in two ways. First, we averaged stimulus-aligned quantal events recorded under low release probability conditions (failure rate >0.8) and corrected the measured amplitude for multiquantal events (≈10%) (Silver, 2003). We also calculated \(Q_p\) from variance/mean analysis under low release probability conditions (Silver, 2003). We corrected this estimate of \(Q_p\) for the variance arising from quantal amplitude variability and latency jitter, measured from variability of stimulus-aligned unquantal events:

\[ Q_p = \frac{a^2}{f(1 + CV_i^2)}, \] (5)

where \(a^2\) is peak variance, \(f\) is EPSC amplitude at the time of the peak under low release probability conditions, and \(CV_i^2\) is coefficient of variation of total quantal variability measured from the peak of stimulus-aligned successes.

**Image acquisition and analysis.** Golgi cell anatomy was visualized with the dyes Alexa488 or Alexa594 (100 μm; Invitrogen) using fluorescence microscopy. Imaging was performed using whole-field excitation (USH102D Mercury Lamp; Ushio) and image acquisition (in nm: excitation filters, 480/40 and 580/20; dichroic, 505 long pass and 595 long pass; emission filters, 535/50 and 630/60 for Alexa488 and Alexa594, respectively) with a cooled digital camera (ORCA100; Hamamatsu Photonics) and Prairie Technologies software. Several images of cell body and dendritic arborization were taken to identify the cell type patchedin.

For Ca\(^{2+}\) measurements, we substituted Alexa dye with 200 μM Oregon Green BAPTA1 (OGB1) (Invitrogen). Images (series of three to four) were taken of various parts of the cell before and during a 100 Hz (100 pulses) stimulation of the white matter. We used a custom-made image analysis routine in Matlab (MathWorks) to calculate the \(\Delta F/\Delta t\) for each pixel by averaging the images before and during stimulation and relating the intensity difference before and during stimulation to the average pixel intensity before stimulation. The distribution of \(\Delta F/\Delta t\) values was fitted with a Gaussian function. Only pixels with an intensity value 5 SDs above the mean pixel intensity value that formed a contiguous group in the image were considered to represent a significant increase in local calcium concentration. The large depth of focus of CCD imaging allowed the simultaneous observation of fluorescence changes in large parts of the cell and hence reliable detection and mapping of synaptically evoked calcium stores (\(\Delta F/\Delta t > 0.1\)) onto the cell morphology in fewer trials than for confocal imaging. During Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging experiments, whole-cell capacitance and series resistance compensation was disabled to induce poor space clamp because this increased the probability of detecting a Ca\(^{2+}\) transient during MF stimulation. The Ca\(^{2+}\) signal was fully inhibited by blockers of glutamatergic synaptic transmission, but NMDA receptors (NMDARs) contributed little to the synaptic response (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and were thus an unlikely source of the Ca\(^{2+}\) increase. Furthermore, 100 μM Ni\(^{2+}\), a nonsel ective voltage-dependent Ca\(^{2+}\) channel blocker, fully inhibited the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal \((n = 3; \text{data not shown})\). Activation of voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels by synaptically induced local depolarization is therefore likely to be responsible for the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal, although we cannot exclude Ca\(^{2+}\)-permeable AMPA receptors (AMPARs) or synaptically induced release from internal Ca\(^{2+}\) stores.

**Statistics.** Data are presented as mean ± SD and sample means compared with paired or unpaired two-tailed Student’s \(t\) test. For pairwise comparison of groups, we used single and two-factor ANOVA, and distributions were compared using a KS test. Mean values were considered significantly different at \(p < 0.05\). Linear, exponential, and Gaussian functions were fit to data points using the least squares method.

**Results**

**Identification of Golgi cells and the functional properties of their excitatory inputs.**

GoCs in acute slices of cerebellar cortex from P25 rats (see Materials and Methods) were initially identified on the basis of their location within the GrC layer and their large soma (>15 μm). In LCA recordings, using pipettes containing extracellular solution, most of these putative GoCs (83%) exhibited spontaneous rhythmic firing (9 ± 5 Hz; \(n = 38\)), consistent with previous studies (Mitchell and Silver, 2000; Forti et al., 2006). For whole-cell recordings, we included a fluorescent tracer dye in the intracellular solution and visualized the cell morphology with fluorescence microscopy. Only those cells with basal dendrites in the GrC layer and ascending dendrites in the molecular layer were confirmed as GoCs (Eccles et al., 1967; Diedonne, 1998) and included in our dataset (64 of 68). The extent of the ascending and basolateral arborization was ≈200 and 100 μm, respectively, in agreement with previous morphological description of GoCs (Eccles et al., 1967; Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974; Diedonne, 1998). Identified GoCs exhibited frequent spontaneous excitatory postsynaptic events, a larger cell capacitance (37 ± 14 pF; range, 10–74 pF; \(n = 64\)) than the surrounding GrCs (<5 pF) and a relatively low input resistance (R\(_{i}\) = 330 ± 70 MΩ).

Anatomical data suggests that GoCs receive three types of excitatory inputs. PFs (GrC axons) synapse onto their ascending dendrites within the molecular layer, MFs contact basolateral dendrites in the GrC layer, and climbing fibers (CFs) may make connections at the soma (Eccles et al., 1967). To date, only the PF to GoC input has been characterized functionally (Diedonne, 1998; Bureau et al., 2000; Beierlein et al., 2007). To establish whether MFs make functional synapses onto GoCs, we electrically stimulated the WMT, which primarily comprises MFs. However, this could also potentially activate CF input monosynthetically and PF input disynaptically, via the activation GrCs. We therefore examined the functional properties (kinetics, pharmacology, and plasticity) and anatomical location of WMT-evoked synaptic inputs and compared them with the properties of PF (to GoC) and CF (to Purkinje cell) transmission to determine whether MFs could be activated in isolation. Figure 1 compares the properties of synaptic currents evoked in GoCs by WMT and PF stimulation (see Materials and Methods). Both WMT and PF stimulation induced inward currents at −60 mV, indicating an excitatory input (Cl\(^−\) reversal potential = −70 mV). Bath application of GABA and glycine receptor blockers had no significant effect on the mean WMT-evoked EPSC waveform \((n = 7; \text{p > } 0.5, t \text{ test})\), nor did the incorporation of the sodium and potassium channels blockers QX314 (5 mM) and TEA (17.5 mM) in the patch pipette \((n = 10); p > 0.9, t \text{ test}; \text{data not shown})\). However, the evoked currents were blocked by NBQX (10–100 μM), confirming they were both glutamate receptor mediated (Fig. 1Ai, Bi). The time between stimulation and the 20% rise of the mean synaptic current was short for both WMT and PF inputs (1.0 ± 0.1 and 1.0 ± 0.4 ms, respectively), consistent with mono-
Synaptic activation. WMT stimulation produced EPSCs in GoC with both a fast rise (<80% rise time = 0.23 ± 0.04 ms) and weighted decay times (\( \tau_{\text{WD}} = 1.6 \pm 0.5 \text{ ms} \); \( n = 42 \)) (Fig. 1Ai,Aii). In contrast, PF-evoked EPSCs had significantly slower rise (0.5 ± 0.2 ms; \( p < 0.05 \); \( n = 6 \)) and decay kinetics (\( \tau_{\text{WD}} = 3.4 \pm 1.5 \text{ ms} \); \( n = 6 \); \( p < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 1Bi,Bii), and EPSCs occurred over a longer time window (80% of events within 430 ± 270 \( \mu \text{ s} \) compared with 190 ± 50 \( \mu \text{ s} \) for WMT stimulation) (Fig. 1Aiii,Biii). To test the potential contribution of NMDARs to WMT-evoked EPSCs, we applied APV at depolarized holding potentials (<−30 mV). APV did not change the EPSC waveform in three of seven cells, and, in the remaining cells, the overall EPSC charge was reduced by only 18 ± 11%. This indicates that NMDARs contribute little to the EPSC waveform and currents remain fast at depolarized potentials (\( \tau_{\text{WD}} = 1.9 \pm 0.7 \text{ ms at } -30 \text{ mV}; n = 7; p > 0.2 \), paired \( t \) test) (supplemental Fig. 1A, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). The distinct kinetics of short-latency EPSCs arising from WMT and PF stimulation indicate that they arise from different synaptic inputs.

We next examined whether the properties of WMT-evoked EPSCs depended on the stimulation voltage. The mean EPSC amplitude increased and the fraction of failures decreased as the stimulus intensity was increased (Fig. 1C,D). However, the EPSC waveform (Fig. 1C, inset) remained the same, indicating that the increase in EPSC size was attributable to the recruitment of inputs with similar kinetics. The initial step-like relationship between EPSC amplitude and stimulus voltage suggests that, at low voltages, a single fiber was activated, as observed previously for MF–GrC synaptic connections (Silver et al., 1996). Single-fiber activation exhibited a 22 ± 13% failure probability and a mean EPSC amplitude of −66 ± 26 pA (\( n = 42 \)). In 5 of these 42 recordings we observed slowly rising EPSCs similar to spillover currents described at the MF–GrC synapse (DiGregorio et al., 2002). In these cells, spillover currents contributed 32 ± 17% (\( n = 5 \)) of the EPSC charge (supplemental Fig. 1Bi,Bii, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). During high-voltage WMT stimulation, when multiple fibers are activated, a second longer-latency (>2 ms) EPSC component was occasionally observed (22% of recordings) (Fig. 1Aii, arrow). The kinetics of this late component were similar to PF-evoked events (20–80% rise time = 0.4 ± 0.1; \( \tau_{\text{WD}} = 2.3 \pm 0.03 \text{ ms} \); \( p > 0.3; n = 3 \)), consistent with the recruitment of a disynaptic PF input.

Synaptic connections made by PFs (onto GoCs and Purkinje cells), CFs (onto Purkinje cells), and MFs (onto GrCs) exhibit distinct short-term plasticity (STP) characteristics. WMT-evoked EPSCs onto GoCs exhibited little STP during five-pulse, 25 Hz stimulus trains (amplitude ratio EPSC5/EPSC1 = 0.95 ± 0.27; \( n = 14 \)) (Fig. 2Ai). Even when the stimulation frequency was increased to 100 Hz, the EPSC only depressed by 22 ± 17% during 10 stimuli (\( n = 10 \)) (Fig. 2Aii). This STP behavior contrasted with the 50% facilitation observed for five-pulse, 25 Hz PF stimulation (\( n = 6; p < 0.05 \), two-factor ANOVA on 25 Hz WMT vs PF) (Fig. 2Bii), consistent with previous studies of the PF input (Bureau et al., 2000). The STP characteristics of WMT EPSCs are also distinct from CF to Purkinje cell transmission, which depresses profoundly (Llano et al., 1991; Silver et al., 1998). Although the MF–GrC synapse also depresses moderately, this is predominantly attributable to postsynaptic AMPAR desensitization. Indeed, lack of plasticity in the WMT input onto GoC is similar to the presynaptic component of MF–GrC transmission, which depresses little during high-frequency stimulation (Saviane and Silver, 2006). The functional properties of WMT-evoked EPSCs are therefore consistent with MF rather than PF or CF input.

Synaptic location of putative mossy fiber inputs

Anatomical studies have shown that excitatory inputs onto GoCs are spatially segregated (Ecclise et al., 1967). We therefore examined the spatial location of putative MF–GoC synapses by calcium imaging during low-intensity WMT stimulation, which activated one or two fibers (see Materials and Methods). Figure 3A shows a two-dimensional projection of a GoC manually reconstructed from a montage of fluorescence images taken with a cooled CCD camera at different focal planes (Fig. 3B). The three fluorescent images in Figure 3B show part of the ascending dendrite (top), soma, and basal dendritic arbor (below). Figure 3C shows the fluorescent change during WMT stimulation for the same regions. In this cell, the fluorescence (\( \Delta F/F \)), and therefore calcium concentration, increased in one of the basal dendrites. In cells in which calcium changes were detectable (7 of 11), WMT stim-
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**Figure 1.** Comparison of Golgi cell EPSCs evoked by white matter tract and parallel fiber stimulation. **Ai**, Mean EPSCs recorded at −60 mV in response to WMT stimulation in control, 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) SR95531 (gabazine) and 0.3 \( \mu \text{M} \) strychnine, and in 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) NBQX. Bar above indicates time of stimulation. **Aii**, Individual EPSCs in response to WMT stimulation (black); mean current trace in red. Arrow indicates long-latency disynaptic EPSCs. **Aiii**, Latency distribution histogram of evoked events shown in **Ai** (mean latency = 1.00 ± 0.07 ms). **Bi–Biii**, Same as **Ai–Aiii** but for PF-evoked EPSCs activated by electrical stimulation in the upper GrC layer. The mean latency was for this cell 1.0 ± 0.2 ms. **C**, Mean EPSC waveforms elicited at various WMT stimulation intensities. Higher stimulus voltages led to increased EPSC amplitudes. Inset, Normalized current waveform for 16 and 26 V. **D**, Relationships between mean peak amplitude and stimulus voltage and EPSC successes and stimulus voltage.
ululation induced local calcium changes ($\Delta F/F = 0.31 \pm 0.29$) in descending or lateral dendrites of GoCs within the GrC layer but never in the soma or in the dendrites ascending into the molecular layer. Perfusion of NBQX (100 $\mu$M) and APV (10 $\mu$M) fully and reversibly blocked both the WMT-evoked EPSCs and the calcium signal, confirming their synaptic origin ($n = 7$). The lack of any calcium signals from the ascending dendrites was not attributable to an inability of the ascending dendrites to respond, because robust calcium signals were observed in the ascending dendrites during molecular layer stimulation ($n = 3$) (supplemental Fig. 2A–C, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

The EPSCs associated with the calcium signal in the basolateral dendrites were similar in latency (1.0 $\pm$ 0.1 ms; $n = 7$; $p > 0.2$), kinetics (20–80% rise time $= 0.26 \pm 0.1$ ms, $p > 0.3$; $\tau_{\text{rise}} = 1.8 \pm 0.7$ ms, $p > 0.2$) and STP behavior (amplitude ratio EPSC5/EPSC1 $= 1.02 \pm 0.12$; $p > 0.9$) to WMT-evoked EPSCs described previously (Fig. 3D,E). The mean distance between the peak of the fluorescence change and the center of the cell body was 56 $\pm$ 25 $\mu$m (range, 20–90 $\mu$m; $n = 7$). The fast rise time of the EPSCs implies that the phase of spontaneous firing can be reset for stimulation phases $>0.2$.

The probability of the MF input eliciting a spike depended on the time since the preceding spike. To quantify this, we used a paired-pulse protocol to assay the probability of triggering a spike at different times after an initial AP (Fig. 4E). On average, it took $52 \pm 29$ ms after the first spike (range, 20–120 ms; $n = 9$ cells) for the spike probability to recover to 50% (Fig. 4E). This value was similar to the time during which MF stimulation was ineffective in producing an AP after a spontaneous spike ($p > 0.9$, paired $t$ test; $n = 6$). These results show that the feedforward excitatory MF input onto GoCs can reset the spike timing and thus the phase of the GoC firing pattern, but that the transmission reliability depends on the timing of the input with respect to the phase of the spontaneous activity.

**Action potential precision and transmission reliability at low and high MF firing frequencies**

APs evoked by low-frequency MF input had a mean latency across cells of 1.1 $\pm$ 0.4 ms ($n = 37$) and exhibited remarkably
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**Figure 2.** Short-term plasticity of EPSCs evoked by white matter tract and parallel fiber stimulation. **A**, Left shows averaged EPSCs evoked with a five-pulse WMT stimulus with a 40 ms interval (25 Hz). Right shows normalized EPSC amplitudes for individual cells (dotted) and population mean ($n = 14$; solid line). The peak amplitudes of evoked events were normalized to the first peak. **Ai**, Same as **A** for 10 stimuli at 100 Hz. **B**, PF EPSCs evoked by GrC layer stimulation. Five pulses at 25 Hz as for **A**. Right shows normalized PF-EPSC amplitude for individual cells (dotted) and population mean ($n = 6$; solid line). Error bars denote SD.
little temporal jitter (Fig. 5A, B). Their precision, defined as the SD of AP times, was 200 ± 120 μs (n = 37). This precision was unaffected by application of 10 μM gabazine (n = 4; p > 0.5, paired t test), which excluded the involvement of feedforward inhibition in setting the precision of GoC firing. Moreover, precision was only weakly dependent on stimulation strength because there was no correlation between SD and AP probability across cells (Fig. 5C). However, when comparing the precision at different AP probabilities in individual cells, a weak but significant correlation was evident (Pearson’s r = 0.28; p < 0.05, t test on fractional change; n = 9). On average, APs were evoked in 52 ± 21% of trials across 37 cells. These results show that the MF–GoC synapse can achieve a greater precision in EPSP-spike coupling than has been reported for other inhibitory interneurons (Fricker and Miles, 2000; Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001; Pouille and Scanziani, 2001; Carter and Regehr, 2002).

We next examined AP precision during trains of MF activity, because some sensory inputs are sustained (van Kan et al., 1993). The spike raster plots in Figure 5, D and E, shows APs evoked by 10 and 100 Hz MF stimulation. GoCs fired in a brief time window after the stimulus. Analysis of the spike jitter in response to the first and consecutive stimuli showed that spike time distributions did not change during the train (KS test, p > 0.9 for 10 and 100 Hz; n = 6), indicating that spike precision was maintained. As stimulation frequencies increased from 10 to 100 Hz, the mean values of the SD of evoked AP times increased from 0.2 ± 0.2 to 0.4 ± 0.2 ms (Fig. 5F). We also observed an increase in the mean spike latency from 1.4 ± 0.2 to 1.8 ± 0.6 ms. This is likely to be attributable to a reduction in GoC excitability, because we observed a decline in spontaneous firing after high-frequency stimulation (0.4 ± 0.4 Hz after 100 Hz MF stimulation; p < 0.05, paired t test). The probability of an AP occurring on a particular trial depended on both the initial spike probability and on the time since the last spike, as expected from the relative refractory period. At stimulation frequencies of 1 and 10 Hz, the AP probability did not change during a train. However, when the frequency was increased to 100 Hz, the reliability of triggering an AP dropped from 51 ± 31% on the first spike to ~20% during the rest of the train (single-factor ANOVA, p < 0.01) (Fig. 5G). Thus, low-frequency MF stimulation (1–10 Hz) produced little change in the GoC firing rate from the baseline firing rate of 6 ± 3 Hz (n = 5), but a stimulation frequency above 10 Hz led to sublinear increase in GoC firing to a saturated level of 17 ± 12 Hz (n = 5) at 100 Hz (Fig. 5H). The decoupling of GoC firing rate from MF rate shows that this connection transmits rate-based information.
indicating that a phase reset was induced by stimulation. The reduction in current amplitude indicates increased failures in triggering an AP.

Figure 6 shows two voltage trajectories of a spontaneously firing GoC during MF stimulation. AP threshold was $-55$ mV, and APs produced a pronounced afterhyperpolarization to $-80$ mV, followed by a slower depolarizing phase leading to the next spike. These values were similar to the averages across cells ($n = 5$; $-55 \pm 6$ and $-80 \pm 5$ mV, respectively) and to previous findings (Forti et al., 2006). When MF input was stimulated soon after the spike, during the hyperpolarized phase, no AP was induced (Fig. 6A, black trace), but when it occurred later, during the depolarizing phase, an AP was triggered (Fig. 6A, red trace). This is also illustrated in Figure 6B, which plots the preceding membrane potential before an MF-induced event (AP in red, EPSP in black). On average, the minimum interval between an evoked AP and the proceeding spontaneous AP was $58 \pm 17$ ms ($n = 5$), similar to the relative refractory period determined in LCA recordings (Fig. 4F). These results suggest that the afterhyperpolarization prevents EPSPs reaching threshold and underlies the relative refractory period in MF–GoC signaling observed in LCA recordings (Fig. 4F).

Figure 6C (top) shows examples of successes and failures on an expanded timescale. On average, MF-evoked EPSPs had a rise time of $0.42 \pm 0.07$ ms (20–80%), a mean amplitude of $8.5 \pm 2.2$ mV, a coefficient of variation of $0.24 \pm 0.1$, and a rapid initial decay time constant ($\tau_{\text{decay}} = 2 \pm 1$ ms; $n = 5$). The latency jitter of MF–GoC EPSPs was only $80 \pm 40$ ms (20% rise point; $n = 5$). MF-evoked APs tended to arise from the rising phase of the EPSP and had a narrow latency distribution (SD of $250 \pm 40$ ms; $n = 5$), consistent with LCA recordings (Fig. 5C). Figure 6C (bottom) compares the time courses of a typical spike latency histogram (measured as time to threshold crossing), corresponding mean subthreshold EPSP for that cell, and aligned population mean EPSC time course (see Materials and Methods). The average interval between the EPSP (20% rise time) and time of threshold crossing was $400 \pm 200$ ms ($n = 5$), confirming that many of the APs were triggered during the rising phase of EPSPs. Comparison of EPSP and the population mean EPSC time course shows that the EPSP rise and initial decay occur during the rising phase of the EPSP. Indeed, the shape of the spike latency distribution shared more similarity with the mean EPSC than EPSP waveform (Fetz and Gustafsson, 1983; Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001). Previous work in other cells has found that voltage-gated conductances in dendrites can contribute to rapid EPSP-spike coupling (Fricker and Miles, 2000; Martina et al., 2000). However, the lack of dependence of EPSP amplitude on preceding membrane potential ($n = 5$) (Fig. 6D) suggests that they do not play a major role in boosting the MF–GoC EPSP. These results show that EPSP-spike precision is achieved by triggering spikes predominantly during the

Properties of EPSP-spike coupling

To examine how MF synapses induce precise firing and yet have little effect on GoC firing rate, we examined the membrane potential during synaptic integration. To do this, we established the MF stimulus intensity necessary to evoke an AP with $\sim 50\%$ reliability in the LCA configuration ($49 \pm 18\%$, $n = 5$) and then re-patched with an electrode containing internal solution in the whole-cell current-clamp configuration. Figure 6A shows two voltage trajectories of a spontaneously firing GoC during MF stimulation.

Figure 4. Effect of mossy fiber input on spontaneous Golgi cell firing. A. Loose cell-attached voltage-clamp recording from a Golgi cell during single-shock MF stimulation. The bar above indicates time of MF stimulation. $T_1$ indicates a representative ISI (equal to the mode) before stimulation, $T_0$ the ISI between spikes before and after stimulation, and $T_2$ the ISI between the first and second spike after stimulation. $T_0$ denotes the interval between stimulation and preceding action potential. Calibration bars: 20 pA, 50 ms. B. Spike raster plot from same cell as A. Dots represent spike times measured at the 50% time point of the AP inward deflection. C. Peristimulus spike time histogram for same recording. The frequency of AP occurrences in a 1 ms bin after the stimulation was significantly increased above the prestimulus baseline ($p < 0.0001$, single-factor ANOVA). D. Phase reset curve averaged across 21 cells. Black solid curve shows phase of first AP after stimulation, and black broken graph shows behavior of the second AP as a function of the phase of stimulation. The datasets were significantly different ($p < 0.0001$, single-factor ANOVA), indicating that a phase reset was induced by stimulation. E. Averaged cell-attached recordings during a paired-pulse MF stimulation protocol. A conditioning MF stimulation pulse that triggered an AP was followed by a second test pulse at various time intervals. The reduction in current amplitude indicates increased failures in triggering an AP. F. Relationship between percentage of trials that resulted in an AP on the second pulse as a function of the interpulse interval for the cell in E. poorly. However, timing information is reliably transmitted at low frequencies and at the onset of a high-frequency stimulus train.
rising phase of the EPSP, which is determined by the rapid initial components of the EPSC time course.

To investigate how many MF inputs were necessary to trigger an AP, we examined the mean EPSC peak amplitude under whole-cell voltage clamp at the same stimulus settings that gave a spike probability of 51%/1100614% (n = 9) in the LCA configuration. The EPSCs had a mean peak amplitude of 260 ± 172 pA (n = 9). Comparison with EPSCs arising from single MFs recorded in the same cells (66 ± 29 pA; n = 9) revealed that, on average, four MF inputs are sufficient to trigger a GoC AP with 50% probability (Fig. 6E). These results show that the synchronous activation of a small number of MFs can trigger an AP in GoCs with high temporal precision.

Quantal determinants of the EPSC at mossy fiber–Golgi cell connections

At calycal and climbing fiber synapses, the synchronous release of several hundred quanta averages out fluctuations in the release time course, allowing precise temporal signaling with little trial-to-trial variability (Silver et al., 1998; Taschenberger et al., 2005). To investigate how MF–GoC synaptic connections achieve high EPSP-spike precision, we examined the quantal content and release time course at this connection. To do this, we reduced the release probability by changing the external Ca2+ and Mg2+ concentration from 2/1 to 1/5 mM, which increased the failure probability from 0.2 to 0.8 at a single-fiber connection. Under these conditions, EPSC successes are predominately uniquantal regardless of the number of release sites present (Silver, 2003). Figure 7A shows a group of evoked uniquantal EPSCs and failures. Averaging successes and correcting for multiquantal contamination gave a mean quantal size across cells of 37 ± 11 pA (n = 9) (see Materials and Methods). This was similar to the estimate obtained by variance/mean analysis (Silver, 2003) (Qp = 34 ± 10 pA; n = 9). Surprisingly, the mean single-fiber EPSC amplitude under control conditions was often comparable with the quantal size (Fig. 7B). Dividing the mean EPSC amplitude under control conditions (−52 ± 14 pA; not significantly different from the average single fiber EPSC across all cells; p > 0.2) by the unquantal size for these cells yielded a quantal content of 1.5 ± 0.4 (n = 9). Because the synchronous activation of four MFs triggered a GoC spike, our findings indicate that the release
of only six quanta can generate spike output with high temporal precision.

The rise time distribution of mean quantal waveforms overlapped with the fastest mean stimulus-aligned evoked currents (Fig. 7C) in control conditions as did the weighted decay distribution of the quantal and control currents (Fig. 7D). Currents in control conditions with slowest decay kinetics typically exhibited a noticeable slow spillover-mediated current component (supplemental Fig. 1 Bi,Bii, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). On average MF-evoked EPSCs in control conditions had a decay that could be fit by a two-exponential function ($\tau_1 = 0.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ ms}, A_1 = 79 \pm 16\%; \tau_2 = 4.4 \pm 3.0 \text{ ms}, A_2 = 21 \pm 16\%; n = 38$). The mean time course of rise-aligned quantal EPSCs, recorded in low calcium solution, was only marginally faster ($20\text{–}80\%$ rise time = $0.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ ms}$ and a two-exponential decay of $\tau_1 = 0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ ms}; A_1 = 85 \pm 11\%; \tau_2 = 4.2 \pm 2.2 \text{ ms}; A_2 = 15 \pm 11\%;$ giving a $\tau_r = 1.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ ms}$; $n = 9$) (Fig. 7E). The similarity of the waveforms suggests that the time course of quantal release is brief, producing little temporal “smearing.”

We quantified the release time course under control conditions by deconvolving the mean evoked EPSC waveform with the mean quantal waveform measured from the same cell (see Materials and Methods) (Sargent et al., 2005). The time course of quantal release under normal conditions increased and decayed rapidly (Fig. 7F). Across cells, the peak release rate was $4.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$, and the release function decayed with a time constant of $133 \pm 99 \mu s$ ($n = 9$) (Fig. 7F). This is comparable with release at giant auditory synapses (Isaacson and Walmsley, 1995; Taschenberger et al., 2005) but slower than reported at the MF–GrC connection ($\tau = 75 \mu s$) (Sargent et al., 2005). Although the $58 \mu s$ difference between MF–GrC and MF–GoC synapses could reflect differences in the vesicular release process, it could also be attributable to the lower temperature of the recordings ($35^\circ$C vs $37^\circ$C) and subtle differences in deconvolution methods used (see Materials and Methods). To verify the results obtained by deconvolution analysis, we directly measured the time course of quantal release in four cells that had sufficient numbers of events, by examining the latency of uniquantal EPSCs under low probability conditions (Fig. 7G). Cumulative release functions for the direct measurement and deconvolution had similar shapes ($p > 0.5$, KS test; $n = 4$) (Fig. 7H), and their $10\text{–}90\%$ rise times were 253 $\pm 145 \mu s$ ($n = 4$) and 255 $\pm 113 \mu s$ ($n = 9$), respectively. Vesicular release was therefore essentially over by the time of the peak of the EPSC (Fig. 7F, black section). These results show that highly synchronous vesicular release and a rapid time course of quantal currents allow MF inputs to reset GoC firing, precisely, with only few quanta.

**Feedforward inhibition of granule cells**

To investigate the downstream effects of feedforward excitation of GoCs, we made whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from GrCs. IPSCs were recorded at an average holding potential of $3 \pm 6$ mV ($n = 19$), close to the reversal potential of AMPA and
NMDARs. WMT stimulation evoked IPSCs in 16 of 19 GrCs (84%), and these often had two distinct latency components (Fig. 8A, red traces). To test whether the two latency components arose from direct stimulation of the GoC axon and disynaptic activation of the MF–GoC–GrC circuit, we blocked excitatory transmission with NBQX (10 μM). This eliminated the longer latency component, confirming its disynaptic origin and left only the short-latency monosynaptic IPSC (Fig. 8A, black traces). Figure 8B shows individual short-latency monosynaptic IPSCs (black traces) recorded in NBQX. Across cells, monosynaptic IPSCs had a latency of 1.2 ± 0.1 ms, a jitter of 160 ± 100 μs, and a success probability of 81 ± 20%. IPSCs had a mean amplitude of 33 ± 19 pA, with rise time of 0.4 ± 0.2 ms and a weighted decay of 8 ± 1 ms (n = 7). Application of gabazine blocked the outward current, confirming that the IPSCs were GABA<sub>λ</sub> receptor mediated.

The pooled latency distribution of NBQX-insensitive (black) and NBQX-sensitive (gray) IPSCs is shown in Figure 8C. The two latency distributions were clearly distinguishable, allowing us to identify IPSCs arising from the MF–GoC–GrC feedforward circuit using a latency criterion (>1.6 ms, 3 × SD above mean latency of monosynaptic IPSCs). IPSCs with latencies >1.6 ms were observed in 13 of 19 GrCs, suggesting that at least 68% of GrCs received feedforward inhibition. Of these, seven exhibited only the long-latency IPSCs component (average latency, 2.0 ± 0.3 ms; range, 1.6–2.2 ms) (Fig. 8D). These disynaptic IPSCs occurred with a success probability of 52 ± 29% (range, 18–91%) and had an average amplitude of 13.3 ± 10.2 pA (range, 4.8–31 pA). Their mean kinetics were very similar to monosynaptic IPSCs, with 20–80% rise of 0.4 ± 0.1 ms and a weighted decay of 7 ± 1 ms (p > 0.2, t test; n = 7). Application of 10 μM gabazine also blocked these IPSCs (n = 2), indicating that they were GABA<sub>λ</sub> receptor mediated. Feedforward IPSCs had a latency jitter of 310 ± 140 μs (SD; n = 7) (Fig. 8C) as expected for the combined latency jitter of evoked GoC spikes and GoC–GrC transmission (p > 0.2, one-sample t test) (see Materials and Methods).

Cells that exhibited disynaptic inhibition in isolation were subsequently hyperpolarized to ~70 mV to examine whether an excitatory MF–GrC input was also activated, as expected for an intact feedforward circuit. In six of the seven cells, we observed inward EPSCs with latency (0.8 ± 0.2 ms), kinetics (20–80% rise time of 0.20 ± 0.02 ms and weighted decay of 2.3 ± 1.1 ms) and mean peak amplitude (~41 ± 37 pA), similar to single-fiber-evoked MF–GrC EPSCs described previously (DiGregorio et al., 2002; Sargent et al., 2005). Because four MFs are required to fire the GoC, this suggests that more MFs contact a GoC than the average of four that contact a GrC. However, the exact number of MF synaptic inputs onto a GoC remains uncertain. Figure 8E shows the timing and average time courses of both the excitatory conductance (EPSG) and the inhibitory conductance (IPSG) for a representative cell. Although the EPSG peak amplitude was 1.5 ± 0.4 times larger than the EPSG (340 ± 230 vs 230 ± 130 pS), by the time the peak of the inhibitory conductance occurred, the EPSG had decayed by 30 ± 11% so that the inhibitory conductance was comparable in size (Fig. 8E, top panel). The time course of the net reversal potential of these two conductances (synaptic reversal potential) (see Materials and Methods) is plotted in the middle panel of Figure 8E (solid line). For this cell, the synaptic reversal potential drops below spike threshold (red broken line; ~40 mV) (Cathala et al., 2003) 1.9 ms after the onset of the EPSG because of the inhibitory action of the IPSG. Thus, the IPSG restricts the excitatory action of the EPSG to a 1.6 ± 0.3 ms time window (n = 6) (Fig. 8E). These results confirm that a functional feedforward inhibitory network is present in the GrC layer and shows that the disynaptic IPSG truncates slow MF–GrC EPSC components, thereby narrowing the time window of synaptic integration.

**Discussion**

We have examined the functional properties of the previously uncharacterized feedforward MF input onto GoCs in the cerebellar cortex. Our results show that synchronous activation of four MFs can reset the spontaneous firing of GoCs with a precision of ~200 μs. This precise EPSP-spike coupling is achieved by the
highly synchronous release of few quanta. Spontaneous pacemaker conductances dominate GoC firing rate and introduce a relative refractory period for MF–GoC transmission. These features allow synchronously active MFs to entrain a GoC with high temporal precision at low frequencies but decouples GoC and MF firing during higher-frequency stimulation. Recordings from downstream GrCs confirm the presence of a functional feedforward inhibitory circuit. These results suggest that the MF–GoC–GrC pathway is tuned to transmit the precise timing of coincident MF activity preferentially at low frequencies and at the onset of a high-frequency stimulus train.

Properties of the MF–GoC EPSC

The MF–GoC synaptic connection has long been recognized from anatomical studies of the cerebellar cortex (Eccles et al., 1967; Palay and Chan-Palay, 1974), but its functional properties have not been examined. We used a number of functional and anatomical characteristics to identify MF inputs and distinguish them from CF and PF inputs. A characteristic feature of the MF–GoC connection is the rapid time course of the evoked EPSC. We show that this is generated by highly synchronized vesicular release and fast quantal currents. The time course of evoked EPSCs at the MF–GoC connection is significantly faster than MF-evoked EPSCs in cerebellar GrCs (τ_rise = 1.6 vs 2.9 ms, respectively) (DiGregorio et al., 2002), although they share the same presynaptic element. The prominent slow current components of the MF–GrC EPSC decay, which are mediated by glutamate spillover onto AMPARs (DiGregorio et al., 2002) and NMDARs (Cathala et al., 2000), are smaller or absent at MF–GoC synapses, although these two connections are made within the same glomerular structure (Eccles et al., 1967). A smaller spillover component could be attributable to differences in the anatomical arrangement (Cathala et al., 2005), a lower release probability (DiGregorio et al., 2002), an increased activity of glutamate transporters, or lower-affinity AMPARs at the MF–GoC synapse than the MF–GrC synapse (DiGregorio et al., 2007). The time courses of quantal and control evoked EPSCs at the MF–GoC synapse are comparable with those between hippocampal GrCs and basket cells (Geiger et al., 1997). Fast EPSCs have also been reported onto other interneurons (Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001; Carter and Regehr, 2002), suggesting that this is common to excitatory synapses in feedforward inhibitory circuits. Another characteristic feature of the MF–GoC synapse is the lack of STP. Resistance to frequency-dependent depression is likely to arise from rapid reloading of release-ready vesicles in the MF terminal (Saviane and Silver, 2006) and less AMPAR desensitization than at the MF–GrC synapse, which underlies the majority of the EPSC depression (Saviane and Silver, 2006; DiGregorio et al., 2007).

Properties of EPSP-spike coupling

GoC spikes are triggered predominantly during the rising phase of MF EPSPs, which is determined by the time course of synaptic charge injection. The fast time course of the MF–GoC EPSC is therefore of particular importance in determining AP precision (Fetz and Gustafsson, 1983; Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001). At synapses with few quanta and high quantal efficacy (Gulyás et al., 1993; Carter and Regehr, 2002), the stochastic nature of vesicular release can produce significant temporal jitter in the EPSC timing and shape from trial to trial (Sargent et al., 2005) and thus limit EPSP-spike coupling to ~1 ms, even when the quantal EPSCs are fast (Miles, 1990; Galarreta and Hestrin, 2001; Carter and Regehr, 2002; Gabernet et al., 2005). Here we show that MF–GoCs synapses counteract this limitation with rapid vesicular release (τ = 130 μs) that produces a rapidly rising EPSP (20–80% rise time = 0.42 ms) and introduces little trial-to-trial jitter in the timing of the EPSP (SD of ~80 μs), although the quantal content is low. These features allow as few as four synchronously active MFs releasing total of six quanta to reset spontaneous GoC firing, with high precision.
Our results show that the MF–GoC synapse is effective at signaling the precise timing of coincident MF activity at low input frequencies, close to or below the spontaneous firing rate of the GoC. Rapid EPSC kinetics in combination with active pacemaker currents, which lower the membrane resistance, produce the rapid rise and initial EPSP decay (τ ≈ 2 ms), limiting the time window for AP generation. The temporal precision of spike generation that this produces in vitro (200 μs) is likely to represent an upper limit for signaling precision, because the perfectly synchronized activation of MFs used in this study is unlikely to occur in vivo. Precision and phase resetting may also be less precise in vivo because GoC are likely to experience greater synaptic drive than in the slice. Strong afterhyperpolarization, mediated by M and SK channels (Forti et al., 2006), reduces the efficacy of synaptic input immediately after an AP. Only after ~50 ms after the hyperpolarization overcomes the effects of depolarizing I_h and subthreshold Na⁺ conductances (Dieudonne, 1998; Forti et al., 2006) to allow MF inputs to trigger an AP reliably. Such prolonged membrane hyperpolarization has been shown to improve AP precision in a number of cell types by reducing jitter accumulation during trains (Berry and Meister, 1998; Schaefer et al., 2006) and is likely to contribute to the maintenance of GoC spike-time precision during trains of MF stimulation. However, the dominant afterhyperpolarization in GoCs make EPSP-spike coupling much less reliable at higher MF input frequencies, permitting only a weak modulation of GoC firing rate by MF inputs. This rejection of MF rate information contrasts with MF transmission onto GrCs in which slow EPSC components arising from spillover onto AMPARs produce a substantial tonic excitatory drive at high firing frequencies (Saviane and Silver, 2006). This, together with the wide dynamic range and non-accommodating nature of GrCs firing, promotes the efficient transmission of rate-coded information from MFs to GrCs (Mitchell and Silver, 2003). The distinct properties of the EPSCs and spike-generating mechanisms in GrC and GoCs could confer the ability to route information on the timing of a stimulus and more general rate information through separate synaptic “channels” in the cerebellar input layer.

Physiological implications

The cerebellar cortex coordinates movements and maintains balance by computing motor sequences from many types of sensory inputs on timescales from tens of milliseconds to several seconds (Eccles et al., 1967; Orlovsky, 1972; Rosen and Scheid, 1972; Yakusheva et al., 2007). How might the properties of the input layer feedforward inhibitory circuit aid these functions? Although it is difficult to extrapolate results from the in vitro slice preparation to in vivo function, the properties of the synaptic and cellular components of this circuit suggest that it is tuned to transmit the precise timing of coincident MF activity and then becomes refractory for tens of milliseconds. The size and timing of the inhibitory conductance produced in GrCs suggest that it could help shape the latency and precision of the early GrC response to sensory stimulation. However, because this circuit is only activated reliably for certain MF input patterns, feedforward inhibition is only likely to be effective in response to some sensory stimuli.

In vivo recordings from MFs and GoCs show a range of behaviors that depend on both the properties and modality of the sensory input. MFs that convey information about joint angle and head velocity are tonically active and show relatively slow modulations in firing rate during sensory stimulation in awake and anesthetized preparations (van Kan et al., 1993; Barmack and Yakhnitsa, 2008). GoCs respond to such sensory input with a modest and relatively slow modulation of their firing rate (Edgley and Lidierth, 1987; Barmack and Yakhnitsa, 2008). Under these conditions, the feedforward circuit may have little effect. In contrast, experiments in decerebrate and anesthetized animals show that tactile stimulation of whiskers and forelimbs evokes a barrage of EPSCs at hundreds of hertz in GrCs arising from one or multiple MFs, which exhibit low firing rates at rest (Jörntell and Ekerot, 2006; Rancz et al., 2007). At the onset of such sensory stimulation, some GoCs exhibit a temporally precise spike response followed by a pause in firing (Vos et al., 1999; Holtzman et al., 2006), consistent with feedforward excitation of GoCs, although pauses in firing in the absence of excitation were more commonly observed and had a larger receptive field (Holtzman et al., 2006). These diverse properties of MF inputs and the wide range of GoC responses make it difficult to identify unequivocally the role of feedforward excitation of GoC from in vivo experiments published to date.

Our results establish that most GrCs receive feedforward inhibition and suggest that activation of a small number of MFs onto a GoC can trigger phasic inhibition in >1000 GrCs innervated by its axon (Eccles et al., 1967). The feedforward inhibitory conductance produced is small but appropriately timed to cancel the slow component of the MF–GrC EPSC. Previous studies of feedforward inhibition in other systems suggest that these properties will enhance spike timing precision by reducing the time window for synaptic integration (Pouille and Scanziani, 2001). Feedforward inhibition could therefore influence the latency and precision of the first GrC spike at the onset of a stimulus, but later spikes are unlikely to be affected by this circuit because of the inability of GoCs to follow MF high-frequency activity. Curiously, fast stimulus-locked inhibition of GrCs has rarely been observed in vivo (Chadderton et al., 2004; Jörntell and Ekerot, 2006). This might be attributable to the small size of the inhibitory conductance, a developmental change in inhibitory transmission (Brockley et al., 1996; Wall and Usowicz, 1997), and the variability of GoC responses to sensory stimuli (Holtzman et al., 2006). Although additional in vitro and in vivo studies are required to determine the role of feedforward inhibition in the cerebellar input layer, our in vitro characterization of its synaptic and cellular properties suggests that GoCs can extract the precise timing of coincident MF activity and reduce the time window for synaptic integration in GrCs at the onset of certain sensory stimuli.
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